Radical 4-exo Cyclizations onto O-Alkyloxime Acceptors: Towards the Synthesis of Penicillin-Containing Antibiotics

by Eoin M. Scanlan¹) and John C. Walton*

University of St. Andrews, EaStChem, School of Chemistry, St. Andrews, Fife, UK, KY169ST (phone: +44 1334 463864; fax: +44 1334 463808; e-mail: jcw@st-and.ac.uk)

Dedicated to the memory of Hanns Fischer and his outstanding contribution to scientific excellence

The 4-exo cyclizations of two types of carbamoyl radicals onto O-alkyloxime acceptor groups were studied as potential routes to 3-amino-substituted azetidinones and hence to penicillins. A general synthetic route to 'benzaldehyde oxime oxalate amides' $(=2-[(benzy]ideneamino)oxy]$ -2-oxoacetamides; see, e.g., 10c) of 2-{[(benzyloxy)imino]methyl}-substituted thiazolidine-4-carboxylic acid methyl esters 9 was developed (Scheme 3). It was shown by EPR spectroscopy that these compounds underwent sensitized photodissociation to the corresponding carbamoyl radicals but that these did not ring close. An analogous open-chain precursor, benzaldehyde O-(benzylaminoacetaldehyde-O-benzyloxalyl)oxime, 15, lacking the 5-membered thiazolidine ring, was shown by EPR spectroscopy to release the corresponding carbamoyl radical (Scheme 4). The latter underwent 4-exo cyclization onto its C=NOBn bond in non-H-atom donor solvents. The rate constant for this cyclization was determined by the steady-state EPR method. Spectroscopic evidence indicated that the reverse ring-opening process was slower than cyclization.

Introduction. – The cyclobutane ring is an important structural motif found in many natural products. The cyclizations of pent-4-enyl and pentadienyl radicals in the 4-exo mode (C^{4x}) to give cyclobutylmethyl and cyclobutenylmethyl radicals, respectively, are comparatively slow [1], due to the strain in the 4-membered ring, and the reverse ringopening processes are about three orders of magnitude faster $[2-5]$. However, the equilibrium can be shifted in favor of ring-closed species either by rapid trapping of the cyclized radical, or by 2,2-dimethyl substitution, and/or by inclusion of electronwithdrawing substituents at the terminus of the alkene [6] [7]. For example, the recently established general stereoselective syntheses of cyclobutanols and cyclobutanones, involving samarium(II) reductions of unsaturated aldehydes [8] [9], and titanium(III) reductions of unsaturated epoxides [10] [11], owe their success to the rapid trapping tactic.

The 4-membered azetidinone ring system 1 occurs in several families of powerful β lactam antibiotics. Radical-based cyclizations leading to azetidinones seem to differ from the 4-exo norm, because no less than four distinct radical-based disconnections have been investigated [7], although only the three shown in *Scheme 1* have proved successful in synthetic applications.

© 2006 Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

¹) Present address: University of Oxford, Chemistry Research Laboratory, Mansfield Road, Oxford, OX1 3TA, UK.

Scheme 1. Synthetically Useful Radical-Based Disconnections of the Azetidinone Ring

Disconnection a in Scheme 1 implies a C^{4x} closure of an amidoalkyl (a-carbamoyl) radical 2 onto an enamide acceptor. This approach has been employed in numerous successful β -lactam syntheses [6]. Disconnection c connotes C^{4x} ring closure of an acyl radical 4 onto an imine acceptor. Ryu and co-workers have prepared suitable acyl radicals and made successful syntheses by carbonylations of azaenynes [12] [13]. Disconnection b implies C^{4x} closure of a carbamoyl-type radical (aminoacyl radical) 3 onto an unsaturated acceptor group.

Quite a range of β -lactams have been made by methodologies following disconnection *b. Pattenden* and co-workers made carbamoyl(salophen)cobalt complexes and showed that on photolysis carbamoyl radicals were released and underwent C^{4x} cyclizations $[14][15]$ (salophen = 2,2'-[1,2-phenylenebis(nitrilomethylidyne]bis[phenol]). We have recently developed several 'clean', metal-free routes to carbamoyl radicals. Cyclohexadiene-based reagents have proved useful as precursors of C- and heteroatom-centered radicals [16] [17]. Cyclohexadienecarboxamides released carbamoyl radicals, and those with allyl and cinnamyl side chains afforded azetidinones in moderate yields [18-20]. 'Oxime oxalate amides' $(=2-[a\text{lkv}]/\text{lder}(\text{rankv})$]-2-oxoacetamides) $R^1C(R^2) = N - O - C(O) - C(O) - N(R^3)R^4$ are easily prepared from an oxime, oxalyl chloride, and an N-alkenylamine, and they also function as novel, clean sources of carbamoyl radicals [21]. The best conditions for preparations of lactams involved photolyses of dilute 'oxime oxalate amide' solutions in toluene, with a $2 - 3$ -fold excess of 4methoxyacetophenone $(=1-(4-methoxyphenyl)$ ethanone; MAP). In these photosensitized reactions, sufficient energy was transferred to the 'oxime oxalate amide' to break the weak N–O bond and release the phenyliminyl radical PhCH=N· (R^1 =Ph, R^2 =H) together with a carbamoyl radical $(R^4N(R^3)-C(O)^*)$. The phenyliminyl radicals simply abstracted an H-atom from the toluene solvent, and the resulting imine was hydrolyzed to benzaldehyde during workup. By means of this methodology, several β -lactams were prepared, including a bicyclic example [22].

An attempt was made to access penicillin derivatives by this route. Thiazolidinecontaining 'oxime oxalate amide' 5 was prepared and photolyzed in the presence of MAP in toluene (*Scheme 2*). EPR Spectroscopy showed unequivocally that the carbamoyl radical 6 was generated. GC/MS Evidence suggested the penicillin derived from radical 7 had formed, but the yield was low and none could be isolated [22].

Cyclizations onto O-alkyloxime functional groups $>C=NOR$ are regiospecific to the C-atom [23] and can be significantly faster than with analogous alkene acceptors [24]. Moreover, useful N-functionality remains available for further synthetic elabora-

tion. We thought, therefore, that C^{4x} ring closures onto suitable O-alkyloximes to give (oxoazetidinyl)aminyl radicals, and hence 3-amino- β -lactams, could show advantages over previous methods. Accordingly, we prepared two types of 'oxime oxalate amide' containing O-benzyloxime side chains and investigated their photodissociations. Part of this research has been published in a preliminary communication [25].

Results and Discussion. – A synthetic route to thiazolidines incorporating O-alkyloxime side chains at the 2-position was first devised. Glyoxal oxime 8 was prepared by condensation of O-benzylhydroxylamine hydrochloride with glyoxal (=ethanedial) according to the method of *Zimmermann* and co-workers [26]. The {[(benzyloxy)imino]methyl}thiazolidines 9 were prepared by addition of cysteine $(R^1 = H)$ or penicillamine $(R^1=Me)$ esters (or their HCl salts) to a solution of oxime 8 in EtOH, followed by stirring at room temperature for 24 h (*Scheme 3*). Thiazolidines **9a–c** were all obtained as inseparable 1:1 mixtures of isomers, and $9c$ was unstable and had to be used immediately. The thiazolidine $9c$ was converted to the corresponding 'oxime oxalate amide 10c through reaction with benzaldehyde O-(chlorooxalyl)oxime (=2-[(benzylideneamino) oxy]-2-oxoacetyl chloride). Precursor **10c** was obtained as a colorless oil, and its ¹H-NMR spectrum showed it to be a mixture of isomers.

By analogy with other 'oxime oxalate amides', it was expected that photosensitized dissociation of **10c** would occur at the weak $N-O$ bond followed by rapid $CO₂$ loss to release a phenyliminyl radical 11 and carbamoyl radical $12c$ (*Scheme 3*). Potentially, radical 12c could undergo C^{4x} ring closure to afford 'penicillano-aminyl' radical 13c that should abstract an H-atom from the toluene solvent and hence yield the penicillin derivative 14c, with N-functionality conveniently placed at the 3-position.

The photodecomposition of 10c was first examined by EPR spectroscopy. A solution containing $10c$ (0.05 g, 0.1 mmol) and MAP (1 equiv.) in (tert-butyl)benzene (0.2 ml) was placed in a quartz tube and deaerated by bubbling $N₂$. The tube was transferred to the resonant cavity of a 9-GHz EPR spectrometer and photolyzed with unfiltered light from a 500-W Hg arc. The spectrum shown in Fig. 1,a was obtained at 220 K. The two sets of N-triplets at low and high field are clearly due to the phenyliminyl radical 11, and the EPR parameters ($g=2.0034$, $a(1H)=7.97$ mT, $a(N)=0.98$ mT) were essentially identical to those in the literature [27]. The g-factor and N hyperfine splitting (hfs) of the second radical (*Fig. 1, a*, centre) were very similar to those of other carbamoyl radicals $[21][22]$ which indicated that the spectrum was due to radical 12c. The spectrum also displays a small long-range d hfs from one or other of the nonequivalent γ -H-atoms. A density-functional-theory (DFT) computation (UB3LYP/6-31G*) [28]

Scheme 3. Preparation of an O-Alkyloxime-Substituted 'Oxime Oxalate Amide' and Its Proposed Photochemical Transformation

a) BnONH₂ · HCl, NaOH, r.t., 16 h. b) Et₃N, r.t., 24 h. c) Pyridine, CH₂Cl₂, 3 h. d) UV, 4-methoxyacetophenone (MAP), PhMe.

on model radical 12d (Fig. 2) gave hfs in good agreement with the experimental data. The computed hfs for $H^{\gamma 4}$ (see *Scheme 3*) was considerably larger than the computed hfs for H^{γ^2} (Table 1). This explains the origin of the d hfs and accordingly, we have assigned the experimental hfs to $H^{\gamma 4}$. These spectroscopic results indicated that 'oxime ester amide' 10c underwent ready homolysis of its weak $N-O$ bonds and that loss of $CO₂$ from the initial acyloxyl radicals was rapid. At higher temperatures, the EPR spectra weakened, as expected, eventually leaving no sign of carbamoyl 12c (Fig. 1,b). However, no trace of the ring-closed penicillano-aminyl radical $13c$ was observable.

Radical	Temp./K or method	g-Factor	a(N)/mT	$a(H^{\gamma 2})/mT$	$a(H^{\gamma 4})/mT$
12c	220	2.0014	2.22		0.10
12a	220	2.0017	2.22		0.15
12d	DFT _a		2.20	-0.06	-0.16

Table 1. EPR Parameters for Thiazolidinylcarbamoyl Radicals in ^tBuPh solution

Attempts to carry out preparative-scale photolyses in toluene as a suitable H-donor were hampered by the comparatively rapid degradation of the precursor 10c. ¹H-NMR Analyses showed that solutions of 10c rapidly developed by-products when kept at or

Fig. 1. EPR Spectra obtained on photolysis of 'BuPh solutions of $10c$ containing MAP at a) 220 K and b) 290 K

Fig 2. DFT-Computed structure of model radical 12d (UB3LYP/6-31G*)

above ca. 270 K. A photosensitized reaction with 10c and 2 equiv. of MAP in toluene was carried out at room temperature, but the NMR spectrum showed no trace of 14c, and a GC/MS of the whole product mixture showed a complex spread of individually minor components. Disappointingly, therefore, no evidence of C^{4x} ring closure of thiazolidinylcarbamoyl radicals onto the oxime ether acceptor could be obtained. The lack of cyclization could be due to precursor degradation, or to the extra strain imposed on the C^{4x} process by the presence of the adjacent 5-membered ring, or to an interaction of the carbamoyl radical centre with the neighboring ester group. The structure of model thiazolidine-containing carbamoyl 12d, computed at the UB3LYP/6-31G* level (Fig. 2), showed an all-trans arrangement of the O-alkyloxime chain with the carbamoyl C=O well placed for ring closure. However, the ester group approaches the carbamoyl rather closely and adopts a conformation in which the two C=O groups are nearly parallel; supporting the idea of an interaction.

To probe for C^{4x} ring closure onto a precursor without an adjacent 5-membered ring, the open-chain 'oxime oxalate amide' 15 was prepared as described previously [25]. The EPR spectrum obtained on photolysis of 15 and MAP in (tert-butyl)benzene at 280 K is shown in Fig. 3, b (see also Scheme 4). The signals of the phenyliminyl radical are clearly visible in the wings of the spectrum. Another species characterized by

Fig. 3. Isotropic EPR spectra obtained during UV photolysis of a 'BuPh solution of 15 and MAP: a) experimental spectrum at 220 K, b) experimental spectrum at 280 K, and c) computer simulation

Scheme 4. Ring Closure (C^{4x}) onto an O-Alkyloxime Acceptor

four *m* is also present at 280 K and was satisfactorily simulated (*Fig. 3,b*) with the following parameters: $g = 2.0049$, $a(N) = 1.37$, $a(1H^{\beta}) = 1.37$, $a(2H^{\gamma}) = 0.25$, $a(2H) = 0.1$ mT at 280 K). The g-factor is typical of an alkoxyaminyl radical, and the other hfs are as expected for (oxoazetidinyl)aminyl radical 17. This assignment was supported by a DFT computation (UB3LYP/EPR-iii//UB3LYP/6-31G*) which gave hfs in reasonable agreement *viz.*: $a(N) = 1.16$, $a(1H^{\beta}) = 1.85$, $a(2H^{\gamma}) = 0.15$, $a(2H) = -0.03$ mT. A third radical (marked A in $Fig. 3$) was also present and dominated spectra taken at lower temperatures (Fig. 3, a). The EPR parameters, i.e., $g = 2.0018$, $a(N) = 2.32$ mT, showed this to be the carbamoyl radical 16 (the other peaks from 16 are overlapped by the spectrum of 17 in Fig. 3, b). The spectroscopic evidence strongly supports the conclusion that C^{4x} ring closure of the open-chain carbamoyl radical 16 does indeed rapidly take place onto the O-benzyloxime acceptor; even at temperatures well below room temperature.

Competition from the reverse ring fission process (k_f) needs to be considered in assessing the kinetics. When ring opening is included, the kinetic expression of Eqn. 1 is easily derived, where $2k_i$ is the diffusion-controlled rate constant for termination reactions of 16 and 17 [29] [30]. The concentrations of 16 and 17 were determined by double integration of their EPR spectra and comparison with the spectrum of a known concentration of DPPH run under identical conditions [4]. Experiments were carried out with MAP concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 5 equiv. so as to vary the ratio $[16]/[17]$. It was found that, to within experimental error, the results were not sensitive to this ratio, and hence the second term on the right of Eqn . I is small, meaning that k_f is significantly less than k_c . Under these conditions, k_c values were derived in the temperature range $205 - 280$ K from the simplified form of *Eqn. 1* giving *Arrhenius* parameters of $log(A_c/s^{-1}) = 9.6$ and $E_a = 30$ kJ mol⁻¹.

$$
[17] + [17]^2/[16] = k_c/2k_t - k_f/2k_t([17]/[16])
$$
\n(1)

The rate constants for ring closure, and the reverse where available, are compared in Table 2 with data for some related species. The rate constant for C^{4x} ring closure of carbamoyl 16 onto the $C=NOBn$ acceptor is four orders of magnitude greater than the rate constant for the archetype pent-4-enyl (20) C^{4x} cyclization. Furthermore, it is also faster than cyclization of pent-4-enyl radical 21, even though this is favored by dimethyl substitution and produces a resonance-stabilized product radical. This is in good agreement with the deduction from preparative work that azetidinone rings form more easily than cyclobutyl-type rings in radical reactions. Ring opening is of the same order of magnitude for both types of ring, and the main difference is the faster cyclization giving azetidinones.

As anticipated, the 4-*exo* cyclization of **16** has a smaller rate constant than the 5-*exo* cyclizations of the hex-5-enyl radical 23 . Interestingly, carbamoyl 4-exo cyclizations

were found to be less reversible than 4-exo cyclizations of amidoalkyls for which there is much evidence that azetidinone formation is reversible [34]. The spectroscopic evidence clearly indicated that 4-exo closure of the open-chain carbamoyl radical 16 was faster than 4-exo ring closure of carbamoyls 6 or 12c with adjacent thiazolidine rings. The 4-exo cyclization onto a $\geq C=NOR$ bond was expected to be faster than onto a C=C bond. The error limits are large on the kinetic data for carbamoyl radicals 16 and 22 so that, although Table 2 shows a marginally greater k_c for the latter, the two are indistinguishable within the error limits. The two specific examples are stereoelectronically dissimilar so that a general conclusion about the kinetics of 4-exo ring closures onto the two types of acceptors cannot be made.

Attempts were made to devise a suitable methodology for the preparative use of Oalkyloxime containing 'oxime oxalate amides'. A solution of the 'oxime oxalate amide' 15 in toluene containing MAP was photolyzed by light from a 400-W UV lamp for 5 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed and the resulting yellow oil was analyzed by 1 H-NMR spectroscopy. The H-C(3) of the azetidinone ring usually appear between δ 3.0 and 4.0 ppm. This region of the spectrum was clear suggesting that the β -lactam 18 was not formed. The peaks corresponding to the benzaldehyde oxime H-atom had disappeared and were replaced by a s at δ 10.2 corresponding to benzaldehyde (derived from radical 11). This suggested that the photodissociation had actually occurred in accordance with Scheme 4 but that the cyclized product had not formed. The remaining peaks were closely related to those of the amine precursor to 15. In addition, the presence of a new series of peaks at δ 8.2 suggested that the major product was the formamide 19 resulting from direct H-abstraction by the carbamoyl radical. Formation of 19 was unexpected since the EPR study had demonstrated that cyclization occurred readily at low temperatures in the non-H-donating solvent (tert-butyl)benzene. It is probable that cyclization of 16 could not compete with the H-atom abstraction from the toluene solvent. The reaction was repeated at a higher temperature, but once again, only the formamide was observed. The photolysis was repeated in the non-Hdonating solvent trifluorotoluene (=(trifluoromethyl)benzene), and also in THF and in trichlorobromomethane, but the expected azetidinone NMR peaks were not observed, and a complex mixture resulted in each case.

Experimental Part

General. Column Chromatography (CC): ICN silica gel $(63-200, 60 \text{ Å})$; FC=flash CC. IR spectra: in nujol or neat; *Perkin-Elmer RX-I-*FT-IR spectrometer; in cm⁻¹. ¹H (300 MHz)- and ¹³C (75 MHz)-NMR Spectra: CDCl₃ soln.; δ in ppm rel. to SiMe₄ (=0 ppm) as an internal standard, J in Hz; Varian-Gemini-2000 and Bruker Avance-300 spectrometers. EI-MS and high-resolution (HR) MS: 70 eV ionization, or chemical ionization (CI) with isobutane as target gas; $VG-Autospec$ spectrometer; in m/z (rel. $\frac{9}{6}$).

EPR Spectra. EPR spectra were obtained with a Bruker EMX-10/12 spectrometer operating at 9.5 GHz with 100 kHz modulation. Solns, of freshly purified 'oxime oxalate amide' $(ca$ 0.1 – 0.2M) and 4methoxyacetophenone (MAP; usually 1 mol-equiv.) in (tert-butyl)benzene were placed in 4-mm (o.d.) quartz tubes and deaerated by bubbling N₂ gas for 20 min. Samples were irradiated in the resonant cavity by unfiltered light from a 500-W super-pressure Hg arc. In all cases where spectra were obtained, hfs were assigned with the aid of computer simulations by the *Bruker* SimFonia software package. For concentration measurements, signals were double-integrated with the Bruker WinEPR software, and radical concentrations were calculated by reference to a known concentration of DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-(2,4,6-trinitrophenyl)hydrazyl), as described previously. In kinetic experiments, to minimize sample-depletion effects, 'single-shot' runs were carried out where samples were irradiated for only one spectrum ($<$ 5) min) before a fresh sample was introduced.

 $Glyoxal Mono-O-benzyloxime (= Ethanedial Mono-O- (phenvlmethvl)oxime; 8). O-Benzvlhvdroxvl$ amine hydrochloride (800 mg, 5 mmol) was dissolved in H₂O (50 ml) neutralized with NaOH (3 molequiv.). To this was added glyoxal $(7.5 \text{ g of a } 40\% \text{ soln. in H₂O)$. The mixture was left at r.t. for 16 h after which time it was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (4 × 25 ml). The org. phase was dried (MgSO₄), the solvent evaporated, and the crude yellow oil purified by bulb-to-bulb distillation at $60-62^\circ$ at 0.2 Torr: **8** (515 mg, 63%) ([26]: 63%). Colorless oil. ¹H-NMR: 5.33 (s, CH₂); 7.34–7.80 (m, 5 arom. H); 7.56 (d, J=8, $CH=N$; 9.59, $(d, J=8, CH=O)$.

2-{[(Benzyloxy)imino]methyl}-1,3-thiazolidine-4-carboxylic Acid Benzyl Ester (9a). To a soln. of the benzyl ester of L-cysteine (2.11 g, 10 mmol) in EtOH (50 ml) at 0° was added 8 (1.63 g, 10 mmol). The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 24 h. After this time, the solvent was removed and the crude colorless oil subjected to CC (AcOEt/hexane). The product was further purified by recrystallization from AcOEt/hexane: $9a$ as a 1:1 mixture of isomers (3.06 g, 86%). Colorless needles. M.p. 78-80°. IR (NaCl): 1741 (C=O). ¹H-NMR: 2.94 (dd, J=7, 10, 1/2 H, CH₂(5)); 3.02 (dd, J=7, 9, 1/2 H, CH₂(5)); 3.29 (dd, J = 7, 10, 1/2 H, CH₂(5)); 3.37 (dd, J = 7, 10, 1/2 H, CH₂(5)); 3.95 (dd, J = 7, 9, 1/2 H, H – C(4)); 4.11 (t, J = 6, 1/2 H, H - C(4)); 5.05, 5.09 (2s, 2 H, OCH₂); 5.12 (d, J = 6, 1/2 H, HC=N); 5.20, 5.22 (2s, 2 H, OCH₂); 5.30 (d, J = 6, 1/2 H, HC=N); 7.30 – 7.37 (m, 10 arom. H); 7.33 (1/2 H, NCHS, under arom. H); 7.48 (d, J=6, 1/2 H, NCHS). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 37.9, 38.8 (C(5)); 64.9, 65.7, 66.1, 66.5 (C(4), C(2)); 76.6, 76.9 (OCH₂); 128.3, 128.4, 128.5, 128.7, 128.8, 128.9, 129.1, 135.5 (arom. C); 147.4, 149.1, 149.4, 152.3 (C=O, C=N). Anal. calc. for C₁₉H₂₀N₂O₂: C 64.0, H 5.66, N 7.86; found: C 64.1, H 5.45, N 7.79.

2-{[(Benzyloxy)imino]methyl}-1,3-thiazolidine-4-carboxylic Acid Methyl Ester (9b). To a stirred soln. of L-cysteine methyl ester hydrochloride (3.42 g, 20 mmol) in EtOH (25 ml) at -10° was added a soln. of Et₃N (2.02 g, 20 mmol) in EtOH (5 ml). The mixture was stirred at -10° for 10 min (until the precipitate of Et₃N · HCl started to appear). To this was added a soln. of 8 (3.26 g, 20 mmol) in EtOH (5 ml). The mixture was stirred between -10 and 5° for 1 h and then at r.t. for 24 h. After this time, the mixture was filtered and the solvent evaporated. The crude product was purified by CC (AcOEt/hexane): pure 9b as a 1:1 mixture of isomers (4.98 g, 89%). Colorless oil. IR (NaCl): 1753 (C=O), 1635 (C= N). ¹H-NMR: 2.95 (dd, J = 7, 10, 1/2 H, CH₂(5)); 3.03 (dd, J = 6, 10, 1/2 H, CH₂(5)); 3.24 (dd, J = 7, 10 1/2 H, CH₂(5)); 3.28 (dd, J = 6, 10, 1 H, CH₂(5)); 3.78, 3.80 (s, 3 H, MeO); 3.92 (dd, J = 6, 9, 1/2 H, H-C(4)); 4.10 (t, J = 7, 1/2 H, H – C(4)); 5.06, 5.10 (2s, 2 H, OCH₂); 5.13 (d, J = 6, 1/2 H, HC=N); 5.31 (d, J = 7, 1/2 H, HC=N); 7.30–7.40 (m, 5 arom. H); 7.38 (1/2 H, NCHS, under arom.); 7.49 (d, J = 6, 1/2 H, NCHS). 13 C-NMR: 37.8, 38.7 (C(5)); 53.1 (MeO); 64.8, 65.2, 66.0, 66.4 (C(4), C(2)); 76.6, 76.9 (OCH₂); 128.5, 128.7, 128.8, 128.9 (arom. C); 147.4, 149.1, 149.2, 152.3 (C=O, C=N). CI-MS: 281 (45, [M⁺H]⁺), 108 (46), 91 (100). HR-MS: 281.0960 ($C_{13}H_{17}N_2O_3S^+$, [M^+H]⁺; calc. 281.0961).

2-{[(Benzyloxy)imino]methyl}-5,5-dimethyl-1,3-thiazolidine-4-carboxylic Acid Methyl Ester (9c). As described for **9b**, with the methyl ester hydrochloride of L-penicillamine ($=$ 3-mercapto-L-valine; 3.03 g, 18.6 mmol), EtOH (70 ml), Et₃N (1.90 g) in EtOH (5 ml), and **8** (3.03 g, 18.6 mmol) in EtOH (5 ml): pure 9c (1.43 g, 25%). Colorless oil. ¹ H-NMR: 1.21 (s, 3 H, Me); 1.63 (s, 3 H, Me); 3.72 (s, 1 H, NCH); 3.79 (s, 3 H, MeO); 5.10 (s, 2 H, OCH₂); 5.21 (d, J = 6, 1 H, HC=N); 7.33 – 7.37 (m, 5 arom. H); 7.46 (d, J = 6, 1 H, NCHS); no further characterization was carried out because 9c was unstable.

Benzaldehyde O-(Chlorooxalyl)oxime $(=Oxo[(phenylmethylene)$ amino]oxy}acetyl Chloride) [35]. A soln. of benzaldehyde oxime $(1.21 \text{ g}, 10 \text{ mmol})$ in Et₂O (10 ml) was added dropwise to a cold (-40°) soln. of oxalyl chloride (=ethanedioyl dichloride; 1.90 g, 15 mmol) in Et₂O (10 ml). After stirring for 1 h at -20° , the solvent was evaporated at $-10^{\circ}/13$ Torr to leave a colorless temperature-sensitive powder which was dried under vacuum for 2 h to remove residual Et₂O: title oxime $(2.01 g, 96%)$ ([35]: 97%). IR (NaCl): 1791 (C=O). ¹H-NMR: 7.2-7.49 (*m*, 5 arom. H); 8.55 (*s*, CH). ¹³C-NMR: 128.2, 128.8, 129.2, 132.9 (arom. C); 159.4, 160.4 (C=N, C=O). MS: 211 (20, M⁺).

3-{2-[(Benzylideneamino)oxy]-2-oxoacetyl}-2-{[(Benzyloxy)imino]methyl}-5,5-dimethyl-1,3-thiazolidine-4-carboxylic Acid Methyl Ester (10c). To a stirred soln. of benzaldehyde O-(chlorooxalyl)oxime $(1.0 \text{ g}, 5 \text{ mmol})$ in CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml) at 0° was added a soln. of Et₃N (400 mg, 5 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 ml) followed by a soln. of 9c (1.43 g, 5 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 ml). The mixture was stirred at 0° for 10 min and then at r.t. for 3 h. After this time, a small quantity of pentane was added to promote formation of the pyridine hydrochloride salt. The mixture was filtered, the solvent evaporated, and the residue purified by FC: 10c (1.97 g, 92%). Colorless oil. ¹H-NMR: 1.47 (s, 3 H, Me); 1.58 (s, 3 H, Me); 3.78 (s, 3/2 H, MeO); 3.81 (s, 3/ 2 H, MeO); 4.73 (s, 1/2 H, H-C(4)); 4.82 (s, 1/2 H, H-C(4)); 4.97 (s, 1 H, OCH₂); 5.13 (s, 1 H, OCH₂); 5.95 (d, J=7, 1/2 H, HC=N); 6.00 (d, J=7, 1/2 H, HC=N); 7.17 – 7.73 (m, 10 arom. H); 8.26(s, 1 H, HC= NO); additional peaks were observed on standing at -20° . Further characterization was not carried out due to product degradation.

2-Oxo-N-{2-[(phenylmethoxy)imino]ethyl}-N-(phenylmethyl)-2-{[(phenylmethylene)amino]oxy}acetamide (15) was prepared as described previously [25].

EPR Study of 15. The ESR samples were prepared by taking 70 mg of the starting 'oxime oxalate' amide' 15, 1 mol-equiv. of MAP, and dissolving them in (tert-butyl)benzene (3.5 ml). The soln. was stirred until homogeneous, and this allowed the preparation of seven identical samples, each of 500μ . The degassed sample was placed in the EPR resonant cavity, and the cavity was cooled to 220 K. Once thermal equilibrium was reached, the sample was photolyzed with light from a 500-W UV lamp, and the EPR spectrum was recorded. The cavity temp. was then increased by 10 K to 230 K, and a fresh sample was placed in the resonant cavity. This was also photolyzed and the spectrum recorded. The procedure was repeated, increasing temp. in increments of 10 K until 280 K.

Preparative-Scale Photolysis of 15. A soln. of 15 (800 mg, 1.9 mmol) in toluene (400 ml) and in the presence of MAP (840 mg, 5.7 mmol) was photolyzed by light from a 400-W UV lamp for 5 h at r.t. After this time, the solvent was evaporated, and a sample was analyzed by 1 H-NMR. The photolysis was repeated at 80°.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. L. J. Beckwith, G. Moad, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1980, 1083.
- [2] K. U. Ingold, B. Maillard, J. C. Walton, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1981, 970.
- [3] J. R. Bews, C. Glidewell, J. C. Walton, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1982, 1447.
- [4] B. Maillard, J. C. Walton, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 2 **1985**, 443.
- [5] J. C. Walton, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1989, 173.
- [6] A. Srikrishna, in 'Radicals in Organic Synthesis', Eds. P. Renaud and M. Sibi, Wiley, Weinheim, 2001, Vol. 2, p. 151.
- [7] J. C. Walton, Top. Curr. Chem. 2006, 264, 163.
- [8] D. Johnston, C. M. McCusker, D. J. Procter, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 4913.
- [9] T. K. Hutton, K. Muir, D. J. Procter, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2345.
- [10] A. Fernandez-Mateos, E. M. de la Nava, G. P. Coca, A. R. Silva, R. R. Gonzalez, Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 607.
- [11] A. Gansauer, T. Lauterbach, S. Zarayan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 5556.
- [12] M. Tojino, N. Otsuka, T. Fukuyama, H. Matsubaru, C. H. Schiesser, H. Kuriyama, H. Miyazato, S. Minakata, I. Ryu, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 4262.
- [13] I. Ryu, H. Miyazata, H. Kuriyama, K. Matsu, M. Tojino, T. Fukuyama, S. Minakata, M. Komatsu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 5632.
- [14] G. Pattenden, S. J. Reynolds, Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 3229.
- [15] G. Pattenden, G. B. Gill, S. J. Reynolds, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1994, 369.
- [16] G. Binmore, J. C. Walton, L. Cardelini, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 27.
- [17] J. C. Walton, A. Studer, Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 794.
- [18] L. V. Jackson, J. C. Walton, Chem. Commun. 2000, 2327.
- [19] A. F. Bella, L. V. Jackson, J. C. Walton, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 2002, 1839.
- [20] A. F. Bella, L. V. Jackson, J. C. Walton, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2004, 2, 421.
- [21] E. M. Scanlan, J. C. Walton, Chem. Commun. 2002, 2086.
- [22] E. M. Scanlan, A. M. Z. Slawin, J. C. Walton, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2004, 2, 716.
- [23] M. J. Tomaszewski, J. Warkentin, N. H. Wierstiuk, Aust. J. Chem. 1995, 48, 291.
- [24] A. G. Fallis, I. M. Brinza, *Tetrahedron* 1997, 53, 17543.
- [25] G. DiLabio, E. M. Scanlan, J. C. Walton, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 155.
- [26] B. Zimmermann, H. Lerche, T. Severin, Chem. Ber. 1986, 119, 2848.
- [27] R. F. Hudson, K. A. F. Record, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 539.
- [28] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, J. A. Montgomery Jr., T. Vreven, K. N. Kudin, J. C. Burant, J. M. Millam, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J. E. Knox, H. P. Hratchian, J. B. Cross, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, P. Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, V. G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A. G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, J. A. Pople, 'Gaussian 03, Revision A.1', Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh PA, 2003.
- [29] H. Fischer, H. Paul, Acc. Chem. Res. 1987, 20, 200.
- [30] H. Schuh, H. Fischer, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1978, 61, 2130.
- [31] S.-U. Park, T. R. Varick, M. Newcomb, Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 2975.
- [32] A. L. J. Beckwith, C. H. Schiesser, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, 26, 373.
- [33] S. Kim, G. H. Joe, J. Y. Do, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 3328.
- [34] J. S. Bryans, N. E. A. Chessum, N. Huther, A. F. Parsons, F. Ghelfi, Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 6221.
- [35] J. C. Jochims, S. Hehl, S. Herzberger, Synthesis 1990, 1128.

Received February 20, 2006